

Policy: Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device for Stroke Prevention

Reference Number: CP.MP.147

Effective Date: 07/17

Last Review Date: 07/17

[Coding Implications](#)

[Revision Log](#)

See [Important Reminder](#) at the end of this policy for important regulatory and legal information.

Description

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most commonly encountered sustained tachyarrhythmia and is associated with a 5-fold increased risk of stroke, and stroke risk increases with age.¹ Among patients with non-valvular AF, the vast majority of thrombus material is located within or involves the left atrial appendage (LAA). Most patients with atrial fibrillation should receive anticoagulant therapy to reduce the risk of systemic embolization. However, not all individuals are candidates for this therapy. LAA occlusion devices have been investigated as an alternative to pharmacological therapy to reduce the risk of stroke in these individuals.

Policy/Criteria

- I. It is the policy of health plans affiliated with Centene Corporation® that the WATCHMAN™ LAA Closure Technology for occlusion of the LAA is **medically necessary** to reduce the risk of stroke in adults with non-valvular AF when both of the following criteria are met:
 - A. There is an increased risk for stroke and systemic embolism based on CHADS2 or CHA2DS2-VASc scores and anticoagulation therapy is recommended; and
 - B. Failure and/or contraindications to oral anticoagulants such as warfarin, factor Xa inhibitors, and direct thrombin inhibitors (e.g., allergic reactions, severe liver disease, recent trauma or surgery, severe high blood pressure, active bleeding, inability to obtain regular INR, etc.)
- II. It is the policy of health plans affiliated with Centene Corporation that all other percutaneous devices for occlusion of the LAA to reduce the risk of stroke in adults with non-valvular atrial fibrillation (other than the WATCHMAN LAA Closure Technology noted above), are considered **investigational**. There is a paucity of evidence regarding the long-term safety and efficacy of these devices and at this time, no other percutaneous device is FDA approved for this indication.

Background

The individualized assessment of the risk-benefit balance is central to decision making around pharmacotherapy for stroke reduction in AF. To estimate stroke risk, the ACC/American Heart Association/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation recommends the use of the CHA2DS2-VASc point score [Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥ 75 years (doubled), Diabetes mellitus, prior Stroke, transient ischemic attack, or thromboembolism (doubled), Vascular disease, Age 65 to 74 years, Sex category), which provides an estimate of the potential benefits of therapy. Per the guideline, oral anticoagulation is a class I recommendation for patients with prior stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or a CHA2DS2-VASc score ≥ 2 (estimated annual stroke risk of 2.2%) in the context of shared decision making, including a discussion of risks of stroke and bleeding, and the patient's preferences.²

CLINICAL POLICY

Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device for Stroke Prevention

Some patients with AF, whose stroke risk profiles would favor anticoagulation, have relative or absolute contraindications to anticoagulation. Others are unable or unwilling to adhere to long-term anticoagulation therapy. As a result, a number of percutaneous techniques that mechanically prevent embolization of LAA thrombi, often referred to as LAA exclusion procedures, have been investigated as an alternative to pharmacological therapy to reduce the risk of stroke. The percutaneous devices include two broad categories: endocardial plug devices to occlude the ostium of the LAA and epicardial LAA ligation procedures to exclude the LAA.

At this time, only the WATCHMAN LAA Closure Technology (Boston Scientific Corporation) has been evaluated in randomized controlled trials compared with the current standard of care. This device has received approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as an alternative to warfarin for stroke prevention. The WATCHMAN device is deployed percutaneously via transseptal puncture and has a polyethylene membrane that covers a self-expanding nitinol cage with barbs to anchor the device in the LAA. The early findings for the WATCHMAN device suggest noninferiority to warfarin for the composite endpoint of stroke, systemic embolism, and cardiovascular death; however, early adverse events occur in approximately 10% of patients, including pericardial bleeding. Longer-term follow-up of the WATCHMAN device at 1588 patient-years suggests noninferiority of this device to warfarin.³ A subsequent registry study demonstrated that the WATCHMAN device achieved noninferiority in patients who could not receive warfarin.⁴ Quality of life was assessed in a subset of patients (361 device and 186 warfarin patients) enrolled in the PROTECT AF (Percutaneous Closure of the Left Atrial Appendage Versus Warfarin Therapy for Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation) trial at baseline and 12 months. It was reported that patients with nonvalvular AF at risk for stroke, treated with left atrial appendage closure, have favorable QOL changes at 12 months versus patients treated with warfarin.⁵

The LARIAT device (SentreHEART) has FDA approval to facilitate suture placement and knot tying in surgical applications where soft tissues are being approximated or ligated with a pretied polyester suture. The FDA has not evaluated the use of the LARIAT Suture Delivery Device for LAA closure to reduce the risk of stroke in atrial fibrillation patients. In fact, the FDA has alerted health care providers and patients of reports of patient deaths and other serious adverse events associated with the use of the LARIAT Suture Delivery Device for this off label indication.

The FDA-approved AtriClip LAA Exclusion System is indicated for the occlusion of the heart's LAA, performed under direct visualization and in conjunction with other cardiac surgical procedures. Direct visualization, in this context, requires that the surgeon is able to see the heart directly, with or without assistance from a camera, endoscope, etc., or other appropriate viewing technologies. The American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/ Heart Rhythm Society (AHA/ACC/HRS) concludes the current data on LA occlusion at the time of concomitant cardiac surgery reveal a lack of clear consensus because of the inconsistency of techniques used for surgical excision, the highly variable rates of successful LAA occlusion, and the unknown impact of LAA occlusion on future thromboembolic events.¹ Per the AHA/ACC/HRS, surgical excision of the LAA may be considered in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. (IIB recommendation- usefulness/efficacy is less well established.)

CLINICAL POLICY

Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device for Stroke Prevention

Various other devices continue to be investigated and some have European Conformity (CE) approval in Europe for LAA closure but they do not have FDA approval in the US. Some examples include Amplatzer cardiac plug, redesigned as the Amplatzer Amulet (St. Jude Medical), WaveCrest (Coherex Medical), LAMBRE (Lifetech Scientific Corp), Occlutech LAA Occluder (Occlutech International AB), and the Cardia Ultrasept LAA Occluder (Cardia).

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)

Current evidence suggests that percutaneous occlusion of the LAA is efficacious in reducing the risk of thromboembolic complications associated with nonvalvular AF. With regard to safety, there is a risk of life-threatening complications from the procedure, but the incidence of these is low. Therefore, this procedure may be used, provided that normal arrangements are in place for clinical governance, consent and audit.⁷

European Society of Cardiology

Guidelines for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation states LAA occlusion may be considered for stroke prevention in patients with AF and contraindications for long-term anticoagulant treatment. (Class IIb recommendation-usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence/opinion.)⁹

American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/ Heart Rhythm Society

The latest guideline on the management of patients with atrial fibrillation (2014) does not include recommendations for the use of LAA occlusion devices due to the lack of adequate data and the absence of an FDA-approved LAA closure device labeled for the indication of stroke prevention at the time of the development of the guideline.¹

Coding Implications

This clinical policy references Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®). CPT® is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association. All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted 2017, American Medical Association. All rights reserved. CPT codes and CPT descriptions are from the current manuals and those included herein are not intended to be all-inclusive and are included for informational purposes only. Codes referenced in this clinical policy are for informational purposes only. Inclusion or exclusion of any codes does not guarantee coverage. Providers should reference the most up-to-date sources of professional coding guidance prior to the submission of claims for reimbursement of covered services.

CPT® Codes	Description
33340	Percutaneous transcatheter closure of the left atrial appendage with endocardial implant, including fluoroscopy, transseptal puncture, catheter placement(s), left atrial angiography, left atrial appendage angiography, when performed, and radiological supervision and interpretation

HCPCS Codes	Description
N/A	

CLINICAL POLICY

Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device for Stroke Prevention

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes that Support Coverage Criteria

ICD-10-CM Code	Description
I47.1	Persistent atrial fibrillation
I47.2	Chronic atrial fibrillation
I48.91	Unspecified atrial fibrillation

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Date	Approval Date
Policy adopted from Health Net NMP 376, Left Atrial Appendage Devices	06/17	07/17

References

1. January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation*. Available at: <http://www.onlinejacc.org/content/64/21/e1>
2. Masoudi FA, Calkins H, Kavinsky CJ, et al. 2015 ACC/HRS/SCAI Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion Device Societal Overview. *Heart Rhythm*. 2015 Oct;12(10):e122-36. Available at: http://www.onlinejacc.org/content/66/13/1497?_ga=2.17281401.1178772850.1497554744-1047100892.1495047352
3. Reddy VY, Doshi SK, Siever H, et al. Percutaneous left atrial appendage closure for stroke prophylaxis in patients with atrial fibrillation: 2.3 year follow-up of the PROTECT AF Trial (Watchman left atrial appendage system for embolic protection in patients with atrial fibrillation) trial. *Circulation* 2013;127:720–9.
4. Reddy VY, Mobius-Winkler S, Miller MA, et al. Left atrial appendage closure with the Watchman device in patients with a contraindication for oral anticoagulation: the ASAP study (ASA Plavix Feasibility Study With Watchman Left Atrial Appendage Closure Technology). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:2551–6.
5. Alli O, Doshi S, Kar S, et al. Quality of life assessment in the randomized PROTECT AF (Percutaneous Closure of the Left Atrial Appendage Versus Warfarin Therapy for Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation) trial of patients at risk for stroke with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013 Apr 30;61(17):1790-8.
6. Hayes Medical Technology Directory. Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure to Reduce Stroke Risk in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. July 2015. Updated June 2016
7. National Institute for Health and Clinical Evidence (NICE). Percutaneous occlusion of the left atrial appendage in non-valvular atrial fibrillation for the prevention of thromboembolism. *Interventional procedures guidance (IPG349)* June 2010. Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg349>
8. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Summary and Safety and Effectiveness Data. WATCHMAN LAA Closure Technology. Mar 2015. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf13/P130013b.pdf
9. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation Developed in Collaboration With EACTS. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2017 Jan;70(1):50
10. Reddy VY, Holmes D, Doshi SK, Neuzil P, Kar S. Safety of percutaneous left atrial appendage closure: results from the Watchman Left Atrial Appendage System for Embolic

CLINICAL POLICY**Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device for Stroke Prevention**

- Protection in Patients with AF (PROTECT AF) clinical trial and the Continued Access Registry. *Circulation* 2011;123:417-24.
11. Sahay S, Nombela-Franco L, Rodes-Cabau J, et al. Efficacy and safety of left atrial appendage closure versus medical treatment in atrial fibrillation: a network meta-analysis from randomised trials. *Heart*. 2017 Jan 15;103(2):139-147
 12. Belgaid DR, Khan Z, Zaidi M, Hobbs A. Prospective randomized evaluation of the watchman left atrial appendage closure device in patients with atrial fibrillation versus long-term warfarin therapy: The PREVAIL trial. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016 Sep 15;219:177-9.
 13. Price MJ, Reddy VY, Valderrábano M, et al. Bleeding Outcomes After Left Atrial Appendage Closure Compared With Long-Term Warfarin: A Pooled, Patient-Level Analysis of the WATCHMAN Randomized Trial Experience. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2015 Dec 28;8(15):1925-32
 14. Holmes DR Jr, Doshi SK, Kar S, et al. Left Atrial Appendage Closure as an Alternative to Warfarin for Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation: A Patient-Level Meta-Analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015 Jun 23;65(24):2614-23
 15. Holmes DR Jr, Kar S, Price MJ, et al. Prospective randomized evaluation of the Watchman Left Atrial Appendage Closure device in patients with atrial fibrillation versus long-term warfarin therapy: the PREVAIL trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014 Jul 8;64(1):1-12.
 16. Bajaj NS, Parashar A, Agarwal S, et al. Percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion for stroke prophylaxis in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and analysis of observational studies. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2014 Mar;7(3):296-304
 17. Chun KR, Bordignon S, Urban V, et al. Left atrial appendage closure followed by 6 weeks of antithrombotic therapy: a prospective single-center experience. *Heart Rhythm*. 2013 Dec;10(12):1792-9
 18. Holmes DR, Reddy VY, Turi ZG, et al. Percutaneous closure of the left atrial appendage versus warfarin therapy for prevention of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation: a randomised non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*. 2009 Aug 15;374(9689):534-42
 19. Pillarisetti J, Reddy YM, Gunda S, et al. Endocardial (Watchman) vs epicardial (Lariat) left atrial appendage exclusion devices: Understanding the differences in the location and type of leaks and their clinical implications. *Heart Rhythm*. 2015 Jul;12(7):1501-7
 20. Gloekler S, Shakir S, Doblies J, et al. Early results of first versus second generation Amplatzer occluders for left atrial appendage closure in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2015 Aug;104(8):656-65
 21. Tzikas A, Shakir S, Gafoor S, et al. Left atrial appendage occlusion for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: multicentre experience with the AMPLATZER Cardiac Plug. *EuroIntervention*. 2016 Feb;11(10):1170-9.

Important Reminder

This clinical policy has been developed by appropriately experienced and licensed health care professionals based on a review and consideration of currently available generally accepted standards of medical practice; peer-reviewed medical literature; government agency/program approval status; evidence-based guidelines and positions of leading national health professional organizations; views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas affected by this clinical policy; and other available clinical information. The Health Plan makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information used or relied upon in developing this clinical policy. This clinical policy is consistent with standards of medical

CLINICAL POLICY

Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device for Stroke Prevention

practice current at the time that this clinical policy was approved. “Health Plan” means a health plan that has adopted this clinical policy and that is operated or administered, in whole or in part, by Centene Management Company, LLC, or any of such health plan’s affiliates, as applicable.

The purpose of this clinical policy is to provide a guide to medical necessity, which is a component of the guidelines used to assist in making coverage decisions and administering benefits. It does not constitute a contract or guarantee regarding payment or results. Coverage decisions and the administration of benefits are subject to all terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations of the coverage documents (e.g., evidence of coverage, certificate of coverage, policy, contract of insurance, etc.), as well as to state and federal requirements and applicable Health Plan-level administrative policies and procedures.

This clinical policy is effective as of the date determined by the Health Plan. The date of posting may not be the effective date of this clinical policy. This clinical policy may be subject to applicable legal and regulatory requirements relating to provider notification. If there is a discrepancy between the effective date of this clinical policy and any applicable legal or regulatory requirement, the requirements of law and regulation shall govern. The Health Plan retains the right to change, amend or withdraw this clinical policy, and additional clinical policies may be developed and adopted as needed, at any time.

This clinical policy does not constitute medical advice, medical treatment or medical care. It is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Providers are expected to exercise professional medical judgment in providing the most appropriate care, and are solely responsible for the medical advice and treatment of members. This clinical policy is not intended to recommend treatment for members. Members should consult with their treating physician in connection with diagnosis and treatment decisions.

Providers referred to in this clinical policy are independent contractors who exercise independent judgment and over whom the Health Plan has no control or right of control. Providers are not agents or employees of the Health Plan.

This clinical policy is the property of the Health Plan. Unauthorized copying, use, and distribution of this clinical policy or any information contained herein are strictly prohibited. Providers, members and their representatives are bound to the terms and conditions expressed herein through the terms of their contracts. Where no such contract exists, providers, members and their representatives agree to be bound by such terms and conditions by providing services to members and/or submitting claims for payment for such services.

Note: For Medicaid members, when state Medicaid coverage provisions conflict with the coverage provisions in this clinical policy, state Medicaid coverage provisions take precedence. Please refer to the state Medicaid manual for any coverage provisions pertaining to this clinical policy.

Note: For Medicare members, to ensure consistency with the Medicare National Coverage Determinations (NCD) and Local Coverage Determinations (LCD), all applicable NCDs, LCDs,

CLINICAL POLICY

Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure Device for Stroke Prevention

and Medicare Coverage Articles should be reviewed prior to applying the criteria set forth in this clinical policy. Refer to the CMS website at <http://www.cms.gov> for additional information.

©2017 Centene Corporation. All rights reserved. All materials are exclusively owned by Centene Corporation and are protected by United States copyright law and international copyright law. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, modified, distributed, displayed, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, or otherwise published without the prior written permission of Centene Corporation. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice contained herein. Centene® and Centene Corporation® are registered trademarks exclusively owned by Centene Corporation.